

Alexandria, VA 22314-1437

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/904,056 07/31/1997		TODD D. LINDSEY	450.156US1 3259		
32710	7590	11/17/2006		EXAMINER	
Stites & Ha		LLC	KUMAR, SI	KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
TransPotomac Plaza 1199 North Fairfax Street, Suite 900			,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
08/904,056	LINDSEY, TODD D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2629		

	·	Srilakshmi K. Kumar	2629	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 18 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a)	$\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	ig date of the final rejecti	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have bunder set for may re	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
	IDMENTS			
3. 📙	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
	(a) ☐ They raise flew issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	71 ⊏ below);	
	(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
	non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	will not be entered, or b)    wided below or appended.  ■ The properties of	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11. 🗵	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. 🗀	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	٠	_
13. 🗀	Other:	h	mati hu	flous
				U C
		•	CHMATH FEROW	ITプ

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues where the reference of Schultheiss does not disclose a mouse for use with a computer. Examiner, respectfully, disagrees. Schultheiss discloses a remote controller which is used with a computer which can be interchangable with a mouse. Applicant further argues, where the remote control of Schultheiss is held in a hand, whereas the applicant's invention requires a flat surface for operation. Applicant claims a surface, but does not specify wherein the surface must be flat, therefore, in the broadest context of the claim, a surface can be a hand. Therefore, the combination of the cited references teach the limitations set forth by the instant application.